



Dr. Anthony Fauci: Displaying The Mind Of A Technocrat

The journalist has no idea about Technocracy, but I do. Since 1932, Technocrats have displayed contempt for elected politicians and the Constitution. Fauci's backhanded slap that Trump is an amateur layperson clearly displays this mindset.

For another example, see ['I know, but what do you want me to do?': Fauci's strikingly honest review of Trump's coronavirus response](#) □ TN Editor

Sunday on CBS's "Face the Nation," National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases director Dr. Anthony Fauci said President Donald Trump was only a "layperson" who has hope for success with existing drugs being successful in treating coronavirus.

Fauci added he was "coming from it from a scientific standpoint."

Host Margaret Brennan asked, "You are the leading infectious disease expert in the U.S. government. You said this week that you differed from the president in his assessment that a combination of two drugs,

Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin, could have the outcome he described to the public possibly could. Who is the president listening to? And do you see a concern here that those drugs could become, you know, basically over-prescribed and there could be a shortage who impact people who have persistent medical issues like Lupus who need those?”

Fauci said, “There’s an issue here of where we’re coming from. The president has heard, as we all have heard, what I call anecdotal reports that certain drugs work. So what he was trying to do in the express was to hope that if they might work, Let’s try and push their usage. I, on the other side, have said I’m not disagreeing with the fact anecdotally they might work, but my job is to prove definitively from a scientific standpoint that they do work. **So I was taking a purely medical-scientific standpoint, and the president was trying to bring hope to the people.** I think there’s this issue of trying to separate the two of us. There isn’t fundamentally a difference there. **He’s coming from it from a hope, layperson’s standpoint. I’m coming from it from a scientific standpoint.”**

[Read full story here...](#)



Does The Coronavirus Pandemic Serve A Global Agenda?

The battle over **universal mandated** vaccines is clearly on the horizon, and this could be Big Pharma's most desired outcome of the coronavirus panic. Big Pharma has politicians eating out of their hand all around the world.

The big question is, do you want the government to mandate what gets injected into your body? □ TN Editor

For those who follow the global immunization agenda and its implementation on different continents, the announcement of a new pandemic didn't come as a surprise. "Pandemic preparedness" has been well-funded and a buzz word for a long time before becoming a priority at the last G7 summits, the Davos World Economic Forum and other meetings of global governance. The latest simulation for preparedness was *Event 201*,^[1] a rehearsal of a coronavirus pandemic organized on

October 18, 2019 in New York by Johns Hopkins University, the Gates Foundation and the World Economic Forum.

The Presidential election campaign in the United States and the controversial mandatory measles vaccination law in Germany provided perfect timing. What better than viral terror to influence public opinion and health policies on vaccine battles raging on both sides of the Atlantic?

To the majority who have never heard about this, one should remember that in 2014, the first Global Health Security Agenda (GHSA) meeting ^[2] was held at the White House, a few months after the whistleblower William Thompson raised the alarm on fraud committed by the CDC in the MMR vaccine safety study. That revelation led to increasing distrust in vaccination and public health institutions. So at the GHSA meeting, the US Health and Human Services Department, the World Health Organization (WHO), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Global Alliance for Vaccination and Immunization (GAVI) and health officials from dozens of countries decided to create a “health security” agenda for the world. Its main goal was to vaccinate the entire population of the planet and drive changes in national legislation to do so. They agreed on the priority to achieve 90% measles vaccination coverage around the globe and to use arguments of “health emergencies” and “security threats” to bypass informed consent laws and constitutional rights.

Soon after that meeting, the big “measles scare” campaign started in Disneyland in December 2014, leading to the removal of vaccine exemption rights in California. Meanwhile, Italy, which had been designated to be the forerunner of this agenda in Europe, set things in motion to mandate eight additional childhood vaccines.

The movie *Vaxxed* then came out in April 2016, during the Presidential campaign. Many American families voted for Donald Trump, hoping that he would create a commission to investigate vaccine safety, as he seemed to have a particular interest. Hillary Clinton, on the other hand, repeated that “the science is clear, the earth is round, the sky is blue and vaccines work” throughout her campaign. A few days before the

November 2016 vote,^[3] President Obama signed major US funding for the GHSA, together with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Unfortunately, after the election, the vaccine safety commission that was supposed to be led by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. never came to pass. On the contrary, draconian vaccine legislation made its way to several states. California, for example, which had already abolished personal belief exemptions, stripped away almost all medical exemptions in 2019, commencing a medical inquisition against doctors who put their patients first.^[4] Many Californians, realizing that their Eldorado had become a gilded cage, moved to freer states for vaccine choice, like Texas or Idaho.^[5]

A vaccine war

In 2020, vaccines could weigh even more heavily in US elections. In fact, one could almost say that a vaccine war is going on across the US. After California, states like New Jersey, Maine, Connecticut, Virginia, Hawaii, Colorado and many others are trying to adopt harsher vaccine laws. But vaccine freedom advocates are getting more organized, too, putting pressure on elected officials and candidates and even introducing their own legislation. For example, after the New Jersey legislature twice failed to pass a repeal of the religious exemption, even though Speaker Steven Sweeney vowed to “go to war” to get it passed, legislators proposed several vaccine safety bills.^[6] The Maryland legislature refused to allow pharmacists to administer vaccines, and in South Dakota, the legislature considered, although rejected, a bill that would have completely prohibited all medical mandates of any kind.^[7]

[Read full story here...](#)



Big Three Bankers Predict Depressionary Economic Crash

As news filters out describing the economic carnage from the coronavirus contagion and panic, the one thing that nobody dares to address is potential collapse of the entire global financial system.

The rapid decline in global stocks now [exceeds the Crash of 1929](#) and has liquidated at least \$30 trillion or more in equity. A bear market loss of 20% or more in a few short weeks is unprecedented in U.S. history. This is a 'poof'-it's-gone moment and it puts banks and financial companies under panic management. Bank stress tests have not yet emerged, but it is inconceivable that some large banks are not technically bankrupt and will be the first ones to start the collapse.

Small businesses are being crushed and will soon fill the courts with bankruptcy filings. With unemployment headed toward 30% or more, individuals will also end up in bankruptcy court.

Forget the coronavirus for a moment. Who is the only sworn enemy of

Capitalism and Free Enterprise that swears it will be destroyed and replaced with another economic system? Yes, the ONLY one - Technocracy, aka the UN's Sustainable Development. Call it the Green New Deal, Green Economy, Natural Capitalism, it doesn't matter. It's all the same twisted plan to flip the world upside down for their own benefit.

Now, remember the coronavirus for a moment. If the UN can wag-the-dog on coronavirus and bring the world to its knees, it can declare a similar emergency for anything it wants - how about global warming, the seas are rising, polar bears are disappearing, ice caps are melting, "we only have 12 years left before we all die"?

Don't worry about that yet, because if these global Technocrats achieve what they need during the great coronavirus panic, there won't be a need for another emergency. □ TN Editor

First it was Goldman cutting its Q2 GDP to -5% just one week ago; then JPM quickly upstaged Goldman by slashing its own Q2 GDP forecast to -14%. Then Goldman, which just last December said the US economy is "[nearly recession-proof](#)" decided to really show JPM who is boss and nearly doubled this dismal prediction, and [on Friday predicted a great depression](#)-like -24% crash in Q2 GDP. And now, in this race to come up with the most apocalyptic GDP number imaginable, **here comes Morgan Stanley which expanded its former Q2 GDP -4% prediction nearly 8x to -30%, a number that would have seemed almost insane in isolation...** if only it wasn't for St. Louis Fed president James Bullard coming up with an [absolutely staggering -50% worst case scenario](#) earlier today.

Here are the highlights from the report which we expect, just like all other bank forecasts, will be revised to an even more cataclysmic number in days if not hours:

We now see 1Q GDP dropping by 2.4% as economic activity has come to a near standstill in March, followed by a record-breaking drop of 30.1% in 2Q. We estimate that March will also mark the first drop in nonfarm payrolls, down 700k. We expect a

record-high unemployment rate, averaging 12.8% in 2Q.

We assume sharp declines in areas of consumer discretionary spending like travel, dining out, other services and motor vehicle spending among others. This will leave a large hole in consumer spending in 2Q, when we expect real personal consumption expenditures to contract at a 31% annualized pace.

While ready to upstage both Goldman and JPM's pessimism, Morgan Stanley was unwilling to break the mold with the other banks, and just like them sees a sharp V-shaped rebound in Q3, even though as [Goldman warned](#) a V-shaped recovery is certainly not to be taken for granted:

We expect that 3Q will look somewhat better as consumption climbs back to around its pre-virus level.

On the other hand:

The outlook for business investment is likely to look more U-shaped, and residential investment should follow a similar pattern.

How about US unemployment? Nothing good. With both Goldman and JPM expecting tremendous surges in joblessness in the coming weeks, Morgan Stanley has outdone them both and writes that **“on April 3 we expect the Bureau of Labor Statistics to report that total nonfarm payrolls declined by a net 700,000 in March.”** Some more details:

*The bulk of the weakness in March payrolls likely comes from a decline in hiring as opposed to firing. However, as we move into April, **it will be both a surge in layoffs as well as a shutdown in hiring that will bring about the darkest days for the labor market since the financial crisis.***

*A corresponding 700,000 decline in household survey employment in March would raise the unemployment rate by 70bp from 3.5% to 4.2%. As we move into 2Q, **we forecast the unemployment rate to surge, averaging 12.8% in the quarter (Exhibit 8) - the highest among records dating back to the 1940s.***

[Read full story here...](#)