



Vaccine Mandates for Everyone, Everywhere - A Globally Coordinated Agenda

Technocracy's endgame to use its 'science of social engineering' for the sake of total social control includes the entire field of healthcare, including globally mandated vaccines. □ TN Editor

In the United States, those who are vaccine risk-aware have much to be concerned about right now. More and more states—and many legislators from both political parties—are displaying a willingness to impose heavy-handed vaccine mandates that trample on [religious](#), [parental](#) and [human rights](#)—including the precious right to “security of person” guaranteed by Article 3 of the [Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#).

What some Americans may not realize is that the current push for mandates is playing out not just in the U.S. but in other countries as well, reflecting a broader—and indeed, global—agenda. Countries such as Australia, [Italy](#) and France have taken the lead in transitioning away from government interventions that “merely nudge or persuade individuals to vaccinate” and toward a more punitive exercise of “[coercive power](#)”—even though research suggests that “tougher stances

on the part of doctors and public health experts tend to [polarize](#) attitudes in the public.” [Australia’s](#) 2016 “no jab, no pay” law, for example, withholds thousands of dollars in childcare subsidies from parents branded as “vaccine refusers,” and some Australian states restrict unvaccinated children’s access to child care altogether.

One of the primary cover stories that governments are using to justify the fierce uptick in vaccine coercion is the argument that infectious diseases pose a threat to national security. Measles represents the [overblown](#) threat *du jour*, while around the world, officials and media keep the public in the dark about the [measles vaccine’s risks](#). In 2014, the [Global Health Security Agenda](#) (GHTSA) formed to “elevate global health security as a national and global priority.” One of the eleven “Action Packages” to which GHTSA stakeholders agreed was an “Immunization Action Package” that just so happens to use measles vaccine coverage as its [proxy indicator](#) for success. Considering that the Action Package’s aim is to marshal regional and global collaboration to “accelerate” vaccine coverage, how should we construe the measles hysteria that [international organizations](#), governments and the media have been fomenting ever since the GHTSA’s creation?

... taking the concept of an “interconnected global network” to an entirely new level all sorts of public and private ‘advisory partners’ are also in on the push for unitary action, including various United Nations (UN) agencies, the World Health Organization (WHO), the World Bank, the African Union (AU), the European Union (EU) and even, somewhat ominously, Interpol.

An interconnected global network

Although generally not in the media spotlight, the GHTSA attracted high-level attention and commitments from the powerful from the get-go. Within four months of its February 2014 launch, the GHTSA received a key [endorsement](#) from the G7, and in September, President Obama hosted the new entity’s first major meeting at the [White House](#). Distracting the public from the earth-shattering [revelations of CDC vaccine fraud](#) issued a few weeks earlier by whistleblower William Thompson (on August 27, 2014), GHTSA meeting participants instead

solemnly declared: “A biological threat anywhere is a biological threat everywhere, and it is the world’s responsibility to respond as one.”

In late 2016, the outgoing President Obama signed an Executive Order that “cemented” the GHSA “as a [national, presidential-level priority](#)” and positioned the U.S. “as a committed, long-term catalyst” for executing the partnership’s goals. At present, the GHSA has [67 member countries](#), but—taking the concept of an “interconnected global network” to an entirely new level—all sorts of public and private “advisory partners” are also in on the push for unitary action, including various United Nations (UN) agencies, the World Health Organization (WHO), the World Bank, the African Union (AU), the European Union (EU) and even, somewhat ominously, Interpol.

The GHSA promotes [external country-level evaluations](#) to assess, among other measures, steps taken to prevent infectious disease threats—with “prevention” defined as “[high immunisation coverage](#)”—and improve surveillance (via detection, assessment and reporting of “[public health events](#)”). The U.S. was one of the [first countries](#) to step up for an assessment, conducted in [close collaboration](#) by external evaluators and the CDC. (The CDC head at the time was Thomas Frieden, [praised](#) by Obama as “an expert in preparedness and response to health emergencies” but [arrested](#) in 2018 on charges of sexual abuse.) The evaluators gave the U.S. [top scores](#) for measles vaccine coverage and “national vaccine access and delivery” while awarding lower scores for “dynamic listening and rumour management” and “communication engagement with affected communities.”

Other international initiatives buttress the GHSA, including the WHO-coordinated International Health Regulations (IHR) established [in 2005](#) (a 196-nation accord to “work together for global security”) and [Target 3.8](#) of the UN’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which promotes access to “essential medicines and vaccines for all” as part of a push for “universal health coverage” (UHC). Reflecting the globally focused zeitgeist, proponents of these [intertwined initiatives](#) are fond of celebrating “more joined-up thinking,” “merging of approaches,” “mutually reinforcing agendas” and “synergy between health system strengthening and health security efforts.”

No accident

At the end of 2014, the EU made a point of declaring vaccination an important public health tool, which the European public health community interpreted as “a crucial step to [strengthen EU action](#) supporting Member States...to implement effective immunization policies and programs.” With this groundwork laid, Italy—a G7 member—volunteered to [spearhead](#) the GHSA’s Immunization Action Package and also became one of the first countries to ramp up its own vaccine mandates. With massive investments by [GlaxoSmithKline in Italy](#), where better to start than on the home front? Although a change in government initially delayed implementation of the 2017 compulsory vaccination decree, in [early 2019](#), citing a “surge in measles cases,” the government told Italian parents not to bother sending their youngest (under age 6) children to school if unvaccinated, and promised to impose fines of five hundred euros for older unvaccinated children attending school. Likewise, in France, “non-vaccinated children [cannot be admitted](#) to any kind of collective institutions such as nurseries, kindergarten, schools or any social activity if they have not complied with the vaccine mandates.”

With the “fortuitous” measles headwinds at their back, there is little doubt that decision-makers view mandated vaccination for school attendance as a [winning strategy](#) and that use of this strategy is [growing](#). The WHO has done its part to help the global effort by placing measles front and center in declaring “vaccine hesitancy”—the “reluctance or refusal to vaccinate”—one of the world’s [top ten health threats for 2019](#). Clearly, it is “game on” for those seeking to override national idiosyncracies with a one-size-fits-all global vaccination agenda.

Legislators who are contemplating new mandates but are still willing to exercise a modicum of independent judgment should recognize that we are in a situation with “echoes of WMD”—“there is no international emergency” and “[policy is being hi-jacked](#).” Here are a handful of critical questions that legislators also should consider:

- **First, measles symptoms can arise from either wild-type measles or [vaccine strains](#)—and the [laboratory testing](#) that**

is necessary to tell the difference between the two is rarely done. How can experts make consequential policies without more complete information about the proportion of measles cases caused by the vaccine?

- **A related point is that sizeable proportions of individuals affected by “outbreaks,” whether of measles or pertussis, are fully vaccinated.** One study (albeit critical of those who do not vaccinate) showed that [55% to 76%](#) of the individuals involved in five large pertussis incidents were fully vaccinated, as were 41% of measles cases reviewed. Study after study documents waning immunity “[despite high vaccine coverage.](#)” How can pronouncements about vaccine effectiveness ignore these critical facts?
- **Third, vaccine mandates have spillover effects on the social fabric.** What are the ramifications of turning school and day care center administrators into “[enforcement agents](#)” who must “pass information about non-compliance to authorities”? What does it mean for a child’s right to an education when mandates exclude unvaccinated children from school “for the duration of their education”?
- **Finally, what about the health care providers who find themselves caught between the proverbial “rock and a hard place”?** A study of [Michigan nurses](#) who provide vaccine education to parents requesting nonmedical exemptions found that many nurses had far more “complex and nuanced...evaluations of parents’ judgments and feelings about vaccines” than vaccine mandates would allow, in addition to “consistent commitments to respect parents, affirm their values, and protect their rights.” Vaccine mandates shut down the potential for respectful health care interactions.

Pro-vaccine [critics](#) of France’s decision to impose harsher vaccine mandates noted at the time that mandates actually fuel further “vaccine hesitancy.” Moreover, by offering significant benefits to “[compliers](#)” that are denied to “non-compliers,” policy-makers contribute to a divide-and-conquer environment that pits one group against another. As international researchers recently [wrote](#), “[P]olitical and ethical

considerations matter.... Vaccine mandates are not only a population health instrument, but a political one.” The GHSA’s disrespect for individual and national sovereignty promises to worsen these problems while doing little to improve children’s health.

[Read full story here...](#)

“© 9/17/19 Children’s Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children’s Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children’s Health Defense? [Sign up](#) for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children’s Health Defense. Your [donation](#) will help to support us in our efforts.