Thousands Rally Globally To Mark Second Anniversary of UN’s SDGs

Evidence that 2030 Agenda did not arise from the citizenry: “...goals will only be implemented if people demand action by their governments.” In fact, the 2030 Agenda was created by a very narrow elite and then marketed to UN member states. □ TN Editor

Two years ago on 25 September 2015, 193 governments agreed to an action plan to end poverty, protect the planet and foster international peace by adopting the UN’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

To mark the anniversary, thousands of people participated in over 850 events across 110 countries to raise awareness for the goals and to hold governments accountable for their slow rollout of national implementation programs.

“The goals will only be implemented if people demand action by their governments” said Beckie Malay from the Global Call to Action Against Poverty (GCAP), who organised an event with university students in
Manila, Philippines

Community events were held all shapes and sizes, with marches, panel discussions, art performances, lesson plans and an #Act4SDGs social media conversation that reached over 80 million people. Central to these actions, was the recognition that the most marginalised groups of people require priority access to the resources and programs being mobilised by the goals.

“Today we should be celebrating, but the situation is worrying. Social injustices are increasing and people are going hungry again” commented Salina Sanou of Action for Sustainable Development in Kenya. Last week, the UN Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) announced that despite a steady decline in recent years, 815 million people suffered from hunger in 2016 – 38 million more than 2015. This is unacceptable in these times of over-production and over-consumption in rich countries, says Sanou. “It is time to break the cycle of inequality in all our systems.”

Standing in front of a large pink elephant in front of the EU’s headquarters in Brussels, Ingo Ritz, coordinator of the Global Day of Action, pointed out that the European Commission was very supportive when the Agenda 2030 was negotiated. “However, now the EU leadership is not seriously interested and the SDGs have become the elephant in the room. They cannot afford to ignore them – making Europe sustainable for all will be key for the legitimacy of the EU.”
UN Hails Establishment Of Technology Bank For World’s Poorest Nations

Herein lies the great development fallacy: U.N. Technocrats believe that every global problem can be solved by applying new technology. This is utterly false. Successful economic development has always been directly related to the level of private property and ownership and access to markets. The U.N. is bent on implementing Sustainable Development, aka Technocracy. ▶ TN Editor

United Nations officials today hailed the establishment of the Technology Bank for the Least Developed Countries (LDCs), which will go a long way in addressing one of the major challenges facing the world’s poorest nations.

The Bank, to be headquartered in Gebze, Turkey, also marks the achievement of the first target of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, namely SDG 17.8.
“This achievement is not only highly symbolic but also of great strategic importanceto the LDCs in the overall achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals,” said Fekitamoeloa Katoa ‘Utoikamanu, the UN High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States.

There are currently 47 countries on the list of LDCs, comprising more than 880 million people (about 12 per cent of world population), but accounting for less than 2 per cent of global GDP and about 1 per cent of the global trade in goods.

“We must ensure that the LDCs are not yet again left behind,” Ms. ‘Utoikamanu told a press conference at UN Headquarters, stressing that access to technology, science and innovation are critical for this group of countries.

The establishment of the Technology Bank was a priority under the Istanbul Programme of Action adopted in 2011, which represented the vision and strategy for the sustainable development of LDCs. Its importance was confirmed in the 2015 Addis Ababa Action Agenda, adopted at the Third International Conference on Financing for Development, and then again in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

The Bank is expected to broaden the application of science, technology and innovation in the world’s poorest countries. It will improve technology-related policies, facilitate technology transfer and enhance the integration of the LDCs into the global knowledge-based economy.

It will also serve as a knowledge hub, connecting needs, resources and actors; facilitate the access of LDCs to existing technology-related projects; and foster joint initiatives with relevant organizations and the private sector.

“We strongly believe that this Bank will help fill the technology gap and it will also speed up the structural transformation in the LDCs,” said Turkish Foreign Minister Mevlüt Çavusoglu.

With the signing of the host country and contribution agreements, the
Turkish Government has committed to provide the Bank with $2 million annually for five years, and also provide personnel and premises in Gebze, which is located outside of Istanbul. It is also planning to implement joint projects with the Bank.

Welcoming the signing of the agreements, Deputy Secretary-General Amina Mohammed said: “We now have a collective responsibility to turn this tool into an effective mechanism for strengthening the science, technology and innovation capacities of LDCs.

“I appeal to Member States and other stakeholders, including the private sector and foundations, to contribute generously to the financing of this Bank so it may reach its potential.”

Read full story here...

---

**Girl Scouts Take Lead To Advance UN Sustainable Development Goals**

The deception of Sustainable Development has morphed into total societal delusion. While the nation obsesses about politics, this anti-
American parade marches on by. Sustainable Development is Technocracy, pure and simple. It intends completely displace Capitalism and Free Enterprise, in which case there will be no political system left. —TN Editor

There’s no goal too big for Girl Scouts to tackle—that’s for sure! Whether it’s harvesting a community garden they planted to feed the hungry, developing innovative solutions to curtail declining bee populations, or initiating programs to combat bullying in schools, Girl Scouts are the go-getters, innovators, risk-takers, and leaders who take action every single day to create a better world for us all.

On September 25, 2015, the 193 countries of the United Nations adopted a set of global goals to help transform our world by ending poverty, protecting the planet, and ensuring prosperity and peace through partnership as part of a sustainable development agenda.

In the agenda, UN leaders detail their ambitious and transformational vision for a better world, while providing a glimpse into our current challenges and discussing the steps we can take to solve them on an international, sustainable scale.

This is where Girl Scouts comes in! As the leaders and change-makers of today and tomorrow, we are prepared to play a role and continue to work together to build a better world—much like the one the UN is envisioning. Color us inspired!

So in support of these sustainable development goals and this extraordinary vision of hope and change, we are rallying Girl Scouts to share how they’re already taking action to contribute to a better, more sustainable future by working specifically to support advancement in the following areas:

- Poverty
- Life on land / Life below water
- Food Security and Hunger
- Health
- Education
- Gender equality / women and girls’ empowerment
- Environment
And guess what? In the past few weeks alone, Girl Scouts who have participated in ToGetHerThere: The Girl Scout Challenge have clocked in over 44,000 hours of work to advance these sustainable development goals—so amazing!

And what’s even more amazing? Girl Scouts participating in the challenge volunteered (on average) more than 89.46 hours, compared to a national average of 36 hours in a year.* Among the project categories of Girl Scout submissions, girls dedicated the most hours in total towards projects within **education**, reflecting Girl Scouts’ desire to create sustainable progress in society. Girls are not only empowering themselves, but also empowering others through education, which impacts the world’s future potential. Education was followed by, respectively, health, poverty, gender equality and empowering women and girls, food security and hunger, environment, and life on land and life below water.

Check out how [Girl Scouts are taking the lead to build a better world](#) for us all, and help us show the world what girls are made of. Share [these stories](#) on social media and invest in her and your future by [becoming a Girl Scout donor](#), today. Your investment makes possible critical, life-changing, girl-led programming, while also supporting each girl during a crucial time in her life and development—a gift that will continue to benefit her and society for years to come. Because when girls succeed, we all win.

[Read full story here...](#)
UN Geospatial Organization Lines Up with 2030 Agenda To Force Sustainable Development

Geospatial intelligence is the tracking of every moving thing in a society, including people and the Internet of Everything. That UN-GGIM is teaming up to enforce 2030 Agenda is designed to create Technocracy, and soon. Note the quote from the article: “China added that all governments should make geospatial information the primary source of data for social and economic development.” — TN Editor

The UN Committee of Experts on Global Geospatial Information Management (UN-GGIM) discussed implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) during its seventh session. Senior officials and executives from national geospatial information and statistical authorities within Member States and international geospatial experts from across the globe welcomed the alignment of GGIM’s Strategic
Framework with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and discussed ways to support the alignment.

In opening remarks to the seventh session of GGIM, Liu Zhenmin, UN Under-Secretary-General for Economic and Social Affairs, observed that the Committee’s agenda includes items that are “closely aligned” to the needs of the 2030 Agenda and the 17 SDGs. He noted that the broad and integrated nature of the 2030 Agenda requires innovative ways of tackling development challenges, and highlighted that the ways in which countries collect, process, and manage data need to undergo a similar revolution, to ensure the monitoring and evidence-based decision making for the SDGs.

Zhenmin explained that this requires coordinated efforts at the global, regional and national level to strengthen countries’ geospatial information management and ensure countries’ coherence of statistics, geospatial information, earth observation, environmental, and big data. He added that, through developing norms, standards, guides, and capacity building for geospatial management, GGIM can contribute to the creation of a new data ecosystem for sustainable development, as integrated information systems will ensure that all countries will be able to measure and monitor the state of the people and planet, while informing the decisions of citizens and governments with timely data.

Mexico expressed concern about mobilizing budgetary and extra-budgetary resources for implementing the proposed GGIM Strategic Framework and possible expansion related to the 2030 Agenda. Belgium suggested using existing associations and organizational structures to implement the Framework.

GGIM Europe welcomed the proposed Framework and noted that it is exploring ways in which it can collaborate with the UN Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) for its implementation. Japan and Spain recommended collaboration between the GGIM regional committees and the UN Regional Commissions on capacity building for developing countries to implement the Framework. The UN Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) and the UN Economic Commission for the Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) also invited collaborations
with the GGIM regional committees.

China, Japan and Singapore stressed the need to prioritize capacity building on geospatial information for developing countries in the Committee’s work. China added that all governments should make geospatial information the primary source of data for social and economic development.

Read full story here...

Britain To Ban Diesel And Gasoline Cars/Vans By 2040

Eliminating the combustion engine is part and parcel of the Paris Climate Agreement, and England is falling in line by banning sales
outright by 2040. The industrial upheaval that this will cause cannot yet be measured.  

As part of a government strategy to improve air quality, Britain is to ban all new petrol and diesel cars and vans from 2040 amid fears that rising levels of nitrogen oxide pose a major risk to public health.

The commitment, which follows a similar pledge in France, is part of the government’s much-anticipated clean air plan, which has been at the heart of a protracted high court legal battle.

The government warned that the move, which will also take in hybrid vehicles, was needed because of the unnecessary and avoidable impact that poor air quality was having on people’s health. Ministers believe it poses the largest environment risk to public health in the UK, costing up to £2.7bn in lost productivity in one recent year.

Ministers have been urged to introduce charges for vehicles to enter a series of “clean air zones” (CAZ). However, the government only wants taxes to be considered as a final resort amid a backlash against any move that punishes motorists.

“Poor air quality is the biggest environmental risk to public health in the UK and this government is determined to take strong action in the shortest time possible,” a government spokesman said.

“That is why we are providing councils with new funding to accelerate development of local plans, as part of an ambitious £3bn programme to clean up dirty air around our roads.”

The final plan, which was due by the end of July, comes after a draft report that environmental lawyers described as “much weaker than hoped for”.

The environment secretary, Michael Gove, will be hoping for a better reception when he publishes the final document on Wednesday following months of legal wrangling.

A briefing on parts of the plan, seen by the Guardian, repeats the heavy focus on the steps that can be taken to help councils improve air quality.
in specific areas where emissions have breached EU thresholds.

Measures to be urgently brought in by local authorities that have repeatedly breached EU rules include retrofitting buses and other public transport, changing road layouts and altering features such as roundabouts and speed humps.

Reprogramming traffic lights will also be included in local plans, with councils being given £255m to help accelerate their efforts. Local emissions hotspots will be required to layout their plans by March 2018 and finalise them by the end of the year. A targeted scrappage scheme is also expected to be included.

Some want the country-wide initiative to follow in the footsteps of London, which is introducing a £10 toxic “T-charge” that will be levied on up to 10,000 of the oldest, most polluting vehicles every weekday.

Read full story here...
UN Urges Governments To Take Over Water Resources & Allocations

I have long stated that Sustainable Development (aka Technocracy) is a resource grab of global proportions, and water is a key live-giving resource that the UN intends to control. The article states, “The World Water Council has issued its 2016 report, which calls for mainstreaming water in a variety of global agendas, including infrastructure financing, climate action and sustainable development.” — TN Editor

UN agencies have reviewed the impacts of forestry and hi-tech irrigation technologies on water, and are urging governments and other responsible actors to resolve conflicts, and take control of water resources and allocations. The World Water Council (WWC) has issued its 2016 report, which calls for mainstreaming water in a variety of global agendas, including infrastructure financing, climate action and sustainable development.

The UN Educational and Scientific Organization (UNESCO) reports on the experiences of Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Chile, China, the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), India, Malaysia, Peru, Romania, South Africa, Spain and the US in a publication titled ‘Forest Management and the Impact on Water Resources: A Review of 13 Countries.’ The report, which is based on research conducted in partnership with universities and other partners in those countries, discusses countries’ laws and policies on forestry, plantations, and environmental management, evaluates the effects of climate change, and recommends ways forward. The research shows that many countries are experiencing water quality problems caused by deforestation and soil degradation. Australia, Spain and the US are experiencing longer and more extreme fire seasons due to climate change.

The researchers note that many countries still fail to connect knowledge about the degradation of water resources with effective forest
management policies. They warn that conflict between forestry management and watershed protection is on the rise everywhere, and recommends that future research focus on addressing the competing demands of water for agriculture, mining, cities and forest-related products, with the need to ensure healthy watersheds.

Read full story here...

United Nations: “Educated People Threaten Sustainability”

The United Nations Technocrats says that educated people consume a disproportionate amount of resources, and are therefore unsustainable by definition. Long live stupidity and ignorance. [] TN Editor

Senior leaders of the United Nations gathered recently to continue
plotting the future of globalized pseudo-education, which they said must be imposed on every child on the planet to advance the UN’s radical plan for humanity known as “Agenda 2030.” Speakers at the so-called “High-Level Event on Education,” including Muslims and communists, all agreed that UN-led “education” was the key to realizing their globalist goals.

The UN’s controversial agenda, also dubbed the “Sustainable Development Goals” or SDGs, is basically a recipe for global government, technocracy, and socialism. And it includes the UN’s vision of “education” at the heart of it all. Under Agenda 2030, children are described as “critical agents of change” whose “infinite capacities for activism” will be weaponized and channeled by the UN’s “education” schemes into advancing the planetary entity’s vision of the future.

The whole program, and especially the education component, is being justified under the guise of imposing “sustainable development” on the world. And as far as the nebulous, totalitarian concept relates to education, the UN has already made clear that more education is actually a threat to sustainability.

“Generally, more highly educated people, who have higher incomes, consume more resources than poorly educated people, who tend to have lower incomes,” explains a UN “toolkit” for global “sustainable” education, which is still posted online at UNESCO’s website. “In this case, more education increases the threat to sustainability.”

Beyond that, when speaking of the UN’s “sustainable development” ideology, which was formulated with crucial assistance from the mass-murdering communist dictatorship ruling China, it has become clear that the UN really means population control, central planning, global governance, and other deeply totalitarian schemes. In fact, the UN barely bothers to conceal that anymore.

In Goal 4 of the 17 SDGs, the UN makes clear that its “education” is really indoctrination. For example, the document, which has not been ratified by the U.S. Senate, demands that all children learn not to just accept and submit to “sustainable development,” but to actually
“promote sustainable development.” The indoctrination program is also heavy on the UN’s vision of “human rights,” which are essentially the opposite of the God-given rights enshrined in America’s founding documents.

And yet, at the UN education summit in late June, UN leaders put a smiley face on it all. “I am confident you all agree that inclusive, equitable and quality education goes to the heart of the 2030 Agenda,” explained President Peter Thomson of the UN General Assembly, which is dominated by regimes classified as unfree. Because brainwashing children will be critical to imposing the UN’s anti-liberty, anti-Christian agenda on humanity, Thompson called the UN’s idea of education the “golden thread that runs through the implementation of all 17 SDGs.”

“We must educate young people about the logic of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development,” he added, never allowing for the possibility that children may not agree with globalism and wealth redistribution. “They must be taught to take ownership, to serve as agents for change, and to be drivers of innovative ways of achieving the SDGs.”

The changes being proposed are drastic, too. “We have to do no less than transform the way we think, invest, partner, and deliver on education,” Thompson said, demanding all children be subjected to “universal early childhood education.” “We need coherent, practical strategies for the development of children’s physical, cognitive, and emotional skills to set up positive adult productivity and behavior.” At a previous summit, they even called for the UN to shape children’s “spirituality.”

The UN is getting bolder and bolder in the quest to brainwash children all over the world with its dangerous ideology. For the sake of faith, family, and freedom, Americans must resist.

Read full story here...
ICLEI is teaching U.S. mayors how to commit treason by leading them to obey agreements with foreign entities. President Trump withdrew from the Paris Climate Agreement but at least 267 cities have rebelled in support by stating that they would obey its dictates in spite of him. ‘ICLEI and its network’ is a presumptuous statement where ICLEI claims some kind of ownership of these cities.

The author of this story, Kale Roberts at ICLEI.org, has demanded that this story NOT be reposted on Technocracy.News. ICLEI cannot stand...
the heat of criticism, nor does it want you to know the truth about its Trojan horse agenda. Roberts knows full well that U.S. copyright law allows any public document to be quoted in part for journalistic review, but he has no respect for U.S. law or the Constitution.

ICLEI has done more than any other NGO to destroy the fabric of American society by corrupting cities with Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development.

I recommend that you print ICLEI’s original article and plaster it all over your city, town or community. Expose this international organization and its evil agenda to destroy America.

“The national government of the United States has walked away from international climate action by announcing its intention to withdraw from the Paris Agreement — ICLEI and its network has not.

“ICLEI is the only local government network that is accredited as observer at the three Rio Conventions, namely UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) under which the Paris Agreement was adopted; the UN Convention on Biodiversity (CBD); and the UN Convention on Desertification (UNCCD).”

D.I.C.E.D. – The UN’s Environmental Constitution For The World

I am sure there are many Americans who have no idea nor care what “The Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development” (DICED) is. They should. The Draft Covenant is the “Environmental Constitution of Global Governance.”


The first version of the Covenant was presented to the United Nations in 1995 on the occasion of its fiftieth anniversary. It was hoped that it would become a negotiating document for a global treaty on environmental conservation and sustainable development. The fourth version of the Covenant, issued on September 22, 2010, was written to control all development tied to the environment, “the highest form of law for all human activity.’

The Covenant’s 79 articles, described in great detail in 242 pages, take Sustainable Development principles described in Agenda 21 and transform them into global law, which supersedes all constitutions including the U.S. Constitution.
All signatory nations, including the U.S., would become centrally planned, socialist countries in which all decisions would be made within the framework of Sustainable Development.

In collaboration with Earth Charter and Elizabeth Haub Foundation for Environmental Policy and Law from Canada, the Covenant was issued by the International Council on Environmental Law (ICEL) in Bonn, Germany, and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) with offices in Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK.

Federal agencies that are members of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) include U.S. Department of State, Commerce, Agriculture (Forest Service), Interior (Fish and Wildlife, National Park Service), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The same agencies are members of the White House Rural Council and the newly established White House Council on Strong Cities, Strong Communities (Executive Order, March 15, 2012).

The Draft Covenant is a blueprint “to create an agreed single set of fundamental principles like a ‘code of conduct’ used in many civil law, socialist, and theocratic traditions, which may guide States, intergovernmental organizations, and individuals.”

The writers describe the Covenant as a “living document,” a blueprint that will be adopted by all members of the United Nations. They say that global partnership is necessary in order to achieve Sustainable Development, by focusing on “social and economic pillars.” The writers are very careful to avoid the phrase, “one world government.” Proper governance is necessary on all levels, “from the local to the global.” (p.36)

The Covenant underwent four writings, in 1995, 2000, 2004, and 2010, influenced by the Johannesburg World Summit on Sustainable Development, by ideas of development control and social engineering by the United Nations, “leveling the playing field for international trade, and having a common basis of future lawmaking.” Article 2 describes in detail “respect for all life forms.” Article 3 proposes that the entire globe should be under “the protection
of international law.”

Article 5 refers to “equity and justice,” code words for socialism/communism.

Article 16 requires that all member nations must adopt environmental conservation into all national decisions.

Article 19 deals with “Stratospheric Ozone.” Rex Communis is the customary international law regime applicable to areas beyond national jurisdiction: in particular to the high seas and outer space.” (p. 72)

Article 20 requires that all nations must “mitigate the adverse effects of climate change.” If we endorse this document, we must fight a non-existent man-made climate change.

Article 31, “Action to Eradicate Poverty,” requires the eradication of poverty by spreading the wealth from developed nations to developing countries.

Article 32 requires recycling, “consumption and production patterns.”

Article 33, “Demographic policies,” demands that countries calculate “the size of the human population their environment is capable of supporting and to implement measures that prevent the population from exceeding that level.” In Malthusian model, humans were supposed to run out of food and starve to death. In a similar prediction, this document claims that the out-of control multiplication of humans can endanger the environment.

Article 34 demands the maintenance of an open and non-discriminatory international trading system in which “prices of commodities and raw materials reflect the full direct and indirect social and environmental costs of their extraction, production, transport, marketing, and where appropriate, ultimate disposal.” The capitalist model of supply and demand pricing does not matter.

Article 37 discusses “Transboundary Environmental Effects and article 39 directs how “Transboundary Natural Resources” will be conserved, “quantitatively and qualitatively.” According to the document, “conserve
means managing human-induced processes and activities which may be damaging to natural systems in such a way that the essential functions of these systems are maintained.”

Article 41 requires integrated planning systems, irrespective of administrative boundaries within a country, and is based on Paragraph 10.5 of Agenda 21, which seeks to “facilitate allocation of land to the uses that provide the greatest sustainable benefits and to promote the transition to a sustainable and integrated management of land resources.” The impact assessment procedure is developed by the World Bank.

“Aquifers, drainage basins, coastal, marine areas, and any areas called ecological units must be taken into account when allocating land for municipal, agricultural, grazing, forestry, and other uses.” Agricultural subsidies are discouraged, as well as subsidizing private enterprises.

“Physical planning must follow an integrated approach to land use – infrastructure, highways, railways, waterways, dams, and harbors. Town and country planning must include land use plans elaborated at all levels of government.”

“Sharing Benefits of Biotechnology” is a similar requirement to the Law of the Sea Treaty which demands that final products of research and development be used freely, no matter who develops an idea or how much it costs to bring that idea to the market.

Article 51 reveals that we will have to pay for these repressive new requirements while Article 52 shows that we must pay 0.7 percent of GDP for Official Development Assistance. This reaffirms the political commitment made in Paragraph 33.13 of Agenda 21 in 1992.

Article 69 deals with settlement of disputes by the Permanent Court of Arbitration, the International Court of Justice, and/or the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea.

Article 71 describes the amendment process, which is submitted to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. UN Secretary-General would review the implementation of this document every five years.
Writers of the Draft Covenant are approximately 19 U.S. professors of Law, Biology, Natural Resources, Urban Planning, Theology, Environmental Ethics, two General Counsel Representatives from the Environmental Protection Agency, chair of the IUCN Ethics Working Group, two attorneys in private practice in the U.S., a judge from the International Court of Justice, a U.S. High Seas Policy advisor of the IUCN Global Marine Programme, foreign dignitaries, ambassadors, and 13 members of the UN Secretariat, including the Chairman, Dr. Wolfgang E. Burhenne. (2006-onwards)

Since this Draft Covenant has a Preamble and 79 articles, it is obviously intended to be a “world constitution for global governance,” an onerous way to control population growth, re-distribute wealth, force social and “economic equity and justice,” economic control, consumption control, land and water use control, and re-settlement control as a form of social engineering.

Article 20 is of particular interest because it forces the signatories to DICED “to mitigate the adverse effects of climate change.” When President Trump withdrew the U.S. from the Paris Climate Accord, “climatologists” from Hollywood and millennials brainwashed by their professors that CO2 is going to destroy the planet and kills us all, took to microphones and podiums to express their displeasure with such a “criminal” decision.

It did not matter that the President explained in a very logical manner that this accord was nothing else than an economic scheme to steal and redistribute wealth from the United States to the third world while real heavy polluters like China and India were allowed to continue to pollute until 2030 when, at that time, they could be bribed to reduce their pollution and perhaps China would install smokestack scrubbers.

President Trump explained how many millions of American jobs would be lost and how our energy generation is getting cleaner while we are exploring other forms of energy. Once President Obama declared that the science has been settled, the science provided and the IPCC modeling had been adjusted to fit the globalist man made global warming agenda, so called anthropogenic.
Since none of Al Gore’s predictions of islands under water due to the melting of ice cap have turned out true, we have more ice than ever this year, the globalists changed the title of their global warming hoax to climate change. Who would object to that term? Everybody knows that climate change but it is not because of humans spewing CO2 in the atmosphere. I don’t see any liberals who have stopped breathing and passing gas. But we do see Hollywood jet set everywhere or sail in their expensive yachts, build mansions on the most beautiful beach side properties in the world, right after they chew humanity out for destroying the planet with our very existence and civilization.

How did man become the main perpetrator of climate change? How did we become so powerful that we can change climate with our very existence but, if we pay carbon taxes to the third world, we correct our guilt of existing, of breathing, and we turn climate into a favorable proposition for all – no hurricanes, no tornadoes, no droughts, no hail, no torrential rains, no earthquakes, no tsunamis, nothing but serene climate year after year.

The Club of Rome, the premier environmental think-tank, consultant to the United Nations and the alleged writer of U.N. Agenda 21’s 40 chapters, explained, “The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy is the humanity itself.”

Environmentalists tell us that the science is “settled” yet 31,000 scientists have signed a petition against the theory that humans are causing climate change. There is certainly a need to reduce pollution of our oceans, rivers, soil, and air but humans are not causing climate change. Temperatures and CO2 concentrations were much higher when there was no industrial activity or even humans.

The Vostock ice core samples taken by a team of Russian and French scientists proved beyond any doubt that CO2 concentrations in deep ice were six times higher than they are today. There are more serious
variables that affect the climate, including solar flares, volcanic activity on earth and in oceans, and oceanic currents. Then there is the deliberate government weather tampering by seeding clouds from flying airplanes with various chemicals in order to “mitigate the effects of global warming.”

Dr. David Frame, climate modeler at Oxford University said, “The models are convenient fictions that provide something very useful.” Prof. Chris Folland from the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research explained, “The data doesn’t matter. We’re not basing our recommendations on the data. We’re basing them on the climate models.”

Christine Stewart, former Canadian Minister of the Environment, also said, “No matter if the science of global warming is all phony... climate change provides the greatest opportunity to bring about social justice and equality in the world.”

Timothy Wirth, President of the U.N. Foundation, said, “We’ve got to ride this global warming issue. Even if the theory of global warming is wrong, we will be doing the right thing in terms of economic and environmental policy.”

The sad thing is that many mayors around the country have decided to disobey President Trump’s decision on the Paris Climate Accord and reported publicly that they will continue their membership even though such a move is illegal under our Constitution. Art. VI, paragraph 2, states, ...“and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby; any Thing in the Constitution or Law of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.”

According to the Tennesseestar.com, the mayor of Nashville, Megan Barry, said that “The Constitution does not apply here in Nashville: ‘I am committed to meeting the goals of the Paris Agreement . . . Even if the President is not.’”

Mayor Barry, who is joined by the mayors of Knoxville, Madeline Rogero, the mayor of Chattanooga, Andy Berke, and “187 U.S. mayors, mostly
Democrats, representing 52 million Americans,” have decided to ignore Article I, Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution which prohibits states governments, including towns in those states, from “entering into any treaty, alliance, and confederation.”

These dissenting mayors have not pledged their allegiance to the U.S. Constitution but to the Global Covenant of Mayors, one of the arms of implementation around the globe of U.N. Agenda 21, now morphed into Agenda 2030. Using grants from our own government, the Compact of Mayors and the European Union’s Covenant of Mayors have influenced initiatives at the local, city, and state governments, forcing their globalist agenda called “visioning” on the hapless population who are now forced to accept decisions made by mayors and boards of supervisors that are robbing them of freedom of movement, of their property rights, of the use of their cars, of farming, in the name of “transitioning to a low emission and climate resilient economy,” a pie in the sky goal. The real goal is to transform and redistribute the wealth of developed countries and to arrest their development by eventually curbing completely the use of fossil fuels and turning them into a more primitive society dependent on unreliable solar and wind power. Such a global society would have no borders, no sovereignty, no suburbia, no private property, no cars, and would be controlled by the United Nations umbrella of octopus NGOs.

There is no surprise that there is such a drive from the left to have a Convention of States (COS) in order to replace our U.S. Constitution with their own environmental constitution of the world, which is called The Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development (DICED).

James Delingpole wrote in a recent article at breitbart.com that “Global warming is a myth – so say 80 graphs from 58 peer-reviewed scientific papers published in 2017.”


The scientific “consensus” about the global warming lie, cited by the left without hesitation, is not science and President Trump was right in
pulling the U.S. out of the Paris Climate agreement, an agreement based on the pretense that the massive lie of global warming is true. 


India alone needs $2.5 trillion between now and 2030 to comply with the requirements of the Paris Climate agreement, a sum which would come from the largest developed countries, mainly the U.S. And there are many other third world nations that would demand such redistribution of wealth from us in order to “decarbonize” and reduce pollution. 


Delingpole cites in the above article the quote given in an interview to Dr. Charles Battig on November 13, 2010. Dr. Ottmar Endenhofer, International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Co-Chair of Working Group 3, stated, “We [UN-IPCC] redistribute de facto the world’s wealth by climate policy... One has to free oneself from the illusion that international climate policy is environmental policy. This has almost nothing to do with environmental policy anymore...”


Dr. Charles Battig amply documents the advancement of Agenda 21 in the United States via ICLEI and gives successful examples of municipalities who were able to extricate themselves from the global warming hoax pushed at the local level by the International Council on Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI), an arm of U.N.’s many octopus Agenda 21 non-governmental organizations (NGOs) who use federal grants, mayors, and local boards of supervisors to insinuate their own plans called “visioning” onto the local community who, most of the time, has no voting rights nor input into the plans.


Patrick Wood wrote in LinkedIn, Exposing: AGENDA 21, “It’s time to go tell your city leaders to kill climate change initiatives.
"#StopTechnocracy." It is time that American mayors follow the U.S. Constitution and not the U.N.'s environmental Constitution called D.I.C.E.D.

Ileana Johnson is a freelance writer (Canada Free Press, Romanian Conservative), speaker, and frequent radio commentator.

Read full story here...

SDG Progress Report Reveals Slow Start to Delivering on 2030 Agenda

'Slow start' means anything but stillborn; The 2030 Agenda is like a huge cruise ship that take a very long time to change direction. The weight of global commerce is slowly, but surely, getting behind the SDGs in order to secure their own fortunes in future global trade. ▷ TN Editor
Two years after the adoption of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, companies are finally beginning to align their sustainability strategies with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The SDGs are increasingly being used to inform decision making and guide strategy, which is helping drive innovation and create new value along the value chain. The result of these intensifying efforts has been a positive shift across the 17 SDGs, a trend which is highlighted in the UN Secretary General’s 2017 Sustainable Development Goal Progress Report.

The report, Progress Towards the Sustainable Development Goals, will be presented at the beginning of the 2017 session of the UN High-Level Political Forum on Sustainable Development (HLPF) on July 10th, but preliminary results have already emerged. The report points to general improvements across the board, but the overwhelming consensus is that more work needs to be done to truly deliver on the objectives outlined in the 2030 Agenda.

According to the report, notable progress is being made on SDG 3 (good health and well-being), SDG 6 (clean water and sanitation) and SDG 15 (life on land), as the public and private sector alike ramp up efforts to improve access to health services and programs that encourage healthy living and develop new solutions to provide clean water to water scarce regions. Organizations and governments are also taking action to reduce practices across the supply chain that threaten worker well-being and valuable natural resources. According to the report, deforestation has slowed significantly, thanks in part to a rise in sustainable forest management, as well as initiative being taken by companies such as BNP Paribas to set responsible palm oil production as a prerequisite for financing.

SDGs 7 (affordable and clean energy), 12 (responsible consumption and production), 13 (climate action), 14 (life below water) and 16 (peace, justice and strong institutions) point to worsening conditions and a need for strong efforts to be taken.

Progress on SDG 7 falls short of the mark in terms of achieving energy access for all and meeting targets for renewable energy and energy
The report has identified a need for higher levels of financing and bolder policy commitments.

SDG 12 displays worsening trends for consumption, with domestic material consumption increasing from 1.51 kg to 1.73 kg per unit of GDP from 2000 to 2010 and a rise in the total domestic material consumption during the same period, from 48.7 billion tons to 71.1 billion tons. The report calls for the widespread adoption and integration of national frameworks for sustainable consumption and production into national and sectoral plans.

In regards to SDG 13, climate-related hazards and natural disasters continue to rise, yet disaster risk reduction measures aren’t doing enough to protect communities. More action must be taken in order to build resistance and limit risks.

Read full story here...