



# Gender Dysphoria Proving Deadly To Thousands

Teaching pre-adolescents that gender dysphoria is normal and acceptable is proving deadly for thousands of unsuspecting children who are administered gender-transitioning hormones.

Definition: “**Gender dysphoria** involves a conflict between a person’s physical or assigned gender and the gender with which he/she/they identify. People with gender dysphoria may be very uncomfortable with the gender they were assigned, sometimes described as being uncomfortable with their body (particularly developments during puberty) or being uncomfortable with the expected roles of their assigned gender.”

□ TN Editor

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has documented thousands of deaths linked to the puberty-blocking drugs now increasingly given to children who suffer from gender dysphoria or claim to be “transgender.”

Between 2013 and June 2019, FDA [recorded](#) 41,213 adverse events, including 6,379 deaths and 25,645 “serious” reactions in patients who

took the hormone blocker known as Lupron — the same drug given to children who say their gender identity is not consistent with their biological sex.

Leuprolide Acetate, known as Lupron, is clinically [approved](#) for treatment of prostate cancer in men, endometriosis in women, and, for a short period of time, “precocious puberty” — a condition in which children begin puberty at a significantly younger age than is considered normal.

Lupron is also being used — without formal FDA approval — as a puberty blocker on the [increasing](#) number of children and adolescents who are being diagnosed in the U.S. and the U.K. with gender dysphoria. This is being done — with the support of the [American Academy of Pediatrics](#) and the [Endocrine Society](#) — despite the fact there is no evidence that such hormonal treatments actually benefit children and adolescents with gender dysphoria.

The American College of Pediatricians has [compiled](#) significant research on the effects of puberty blockers and cross-sex hormones on children.

Complications related to the drug’s use include malignant tumors, [cardiovascular events](#), such as heart attacks and blood clots, suicidal behavior and other psychological disorders, brittle bones and painful joints, and sterility.

Dr. Jane Orient, executive director of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, confirmed to Breitbart News in email comments that while some of the deaths reported by FDA could have been patients who died of prostate cancer, the problem is that very little is known about the use of Lupron “to treat healthy children, deliberately to block normal maturation.”

Lupron “is off-label for lack of long-term studies,” she said, adding:

*It undoubtedly causes irreversible loss of fertility and many other adverse effects that are potentially lethal. It does not turn a male child into a female child, only into a eunuch who will lose his full potential for growth and strength. Children have no capacity to*

*comprehend these long-term consequences, so the use of this drug in gender-confused children constitutes unethical experimentation; informed consent is not possible.*

Gender-transitioning hormone treatments have been successful for pharmaceutical companies. In 2017, AbbVie, which produces Lupron, [observed](#) sales of the drug were \$669 million just in the United States alone.

California-based endocrinologist and specialist on childhood gender dysphoria [Dr. Michael Laidlaw](#) has [noted](#) the medical industry is looking at a “windfall” as a result of patients seeking out treatment for gender dysphoria.

“Big pharma, big hospital systems, surgical centers and doctors seek to gain huge profits,” Laidlaw said. “Lupron ... monthly is \$775 alone. That’s a \$27,000 ‘pause button’ at 5 years [of age]. Multiply this together with the huge rise in cases documented or observed in Western nations and a major windfall is to be had.”

However, while Lupron may be therapeutic for men coping with prostate cancer, Laidlaw [emphasized](#) in an interview with the *Christian Post* that “gender dysphoria is not an endocrine condition, but is a psychological one and should, therefore, be treated with proper psychological care.”

He warned, nevertheless, that once puberty blockers and cross-sex hormones are injected into children, an “endocrine condition” in these children will develop.

St. Louis-based pediatric endocrinologist Dr. Paul Hruz also [told](#) the *Christian Post* there is much that is unknown about giving hormone blockers like Lupron to children.

“It’s often claimed that medical blockade of puberty allows a child more time to sort out issues of their gender identity, that it alleviates dysphoria in affected children, and that it makes it easier if and when they choose to go on and get other treatments, namely [sex change] surgery,” he said. “It’s also claimed that it’s completely safe and reversible.”

Hruz noted Lupron does influence bone density, and since adolescence is a time when young people accumulate the bone mass essential for the rest of their lives, there is cause for concern about whether a young person can gain back bone density once they go off the drug.

“The reality is that there is no long-term data about treating children, and the only data that we have in adults indicates that medical interventions to align the appearance of the body to a transgendered identity does not fix the problem,” he said.

The psychological problems associated with gender dysphoria are [becoming](#) increasingly obvious, though transgender activists insist the problems exist because children and adolescents are not immediately “affirmed” in their new gender identity by parents and other significant people in their lives.

[Read full story here...](#)

---



# Eric Schmidt: Biology Is The Next Frontier In Computing

Eric Schmidt, former chairman of Alphabet and CEO of Google, a member of the elite Trilateral Commission and a consummate Technocrat and Transhuman seeking to conquer death itself. □ TN Editor Brain inserts and carbon-absorbing bacteria aren't just the fantasies of Silicon Valley's richest executives, they're also a part of a larger hope to advance artificial intelligence and computing efforts.

“Biology will undoubtedly fuel computing” in coming years, former [Google](#) CEO and current technical advisor Eric Schmidt said at a conference called SynBioBeta in San Francisco Monday. “Taking biology, which I'd always viewed as squishy and analog, and turning it into something that can be digitally manipulated, is an enormous accelerator.”

Schmidt's comments come as Silicon Valley's seeming obsession with biology attempts to move beyond fascinating projects and into more serious investments that could help modernize tech processes.

[Facebook](#) CEO Mark Zuckerberg this year announced he and his wife Priscilla Chan would [donate \\$68 million](#) to support the mapping of all the cells in the human body. Facebook also recently [acquired a company called CTRL Labs](#) that lets you control computers with your mind. And Neuralink, a start-up once backed by Elon Musk, [announced](#) its brain-computer will start trials on humans next year.

“I'm always interested in the question: What is changing the fastest right now? Because whatever that is determining the history of next year,” Schmidt told the crowd. “There's lot of evidence that biology is in that golden period right now.”

[Read full story here...](#)



# Musk's Suicide Mission: Send 100 People to Mars

Elon Musk is the consummate modern Technocrat and whose grandfather was head of the Technocracy, Inc. movement in the 1930s and 40s. He is also a member of the elite outer space cult looking to colonize Mars. □ TN Editor

Elon Musk is a Cancer.

If he'd been born a week earlier, on June 21<sup>st</sup> instead of June 28<sup>th</sup>, he'd be a Gemini. And that would make much, much more sense. Because, while he isn't very crabby (Cancers are crabs, and that's the extent of my astrology knowledge), he certainly is a two-spirited human.

Musk plans on sending as many as 100 people - we're not sure of the exact number, but his all-new 'Starship' seats that many - to Mars by 2024. This plan is ambitious and, in many ways, necessary. According to Musk it's *imperative* we do everything in our power to preserve "consciousness," which he fears could be unique to humans.

What if we're all alone in the universe and an asteroid destroys Earth? The loss of consciousness would be the greatest loss in the history of, well, everything. Musk's Starship, according to him, will take humans first to the edges of Earth's orbit, then to the Moon, and finally to Mars and beyond. He hopes that we'll colonize new planets, effectively making us resilient against the single point of failure that is our mono-planetary residency. In other words, Musk wants to get people living on backup planets as quickly as possible.

This all sounds great, but getting humans to Mars isn't just a matter of building a big spaceship that goes really fast. It's not *just* rocket science. Assuming that psychological complications don't make the entire endeavor a literal nightmare for those involved, there's also the currently unsolved problem of how human exposure to space radiation over extended periods [is probably lethal](#).

Astrobiologist Samantha Rolfe from the University of Hertfordshire today published [an article](#) on The Conversation explaining how, in her view, Musk's endeavor to put people on Mars could have catastrophic results. Not only does she point out that humans could introduce microbiology to the red planet that might kill any living organisms out there - imagine, as NASA is [reportedly close](#) to announcing it's found life on Mars, we up and murder it post-haste - but it's likely to be too dangerous for humans on such a short time frame.

Rolfe writes:

*Deep space is not without its dangers, but at least working in low Earth orbit, on the moon and the International Space Station, the Earth's magnetic field offers some protection from harmful space radiation.*

*Mars doesn't have its own magnetic field and its atmosphere provides little shelter from cosmic radiation. Astronauts would also be exposed to deep space radiation for the minimum six-month journey between planets.*

*Though plenty of work is being conducted, radiation protection technology is a long way behind other aspects of rocketry. I'm not*

*sure that it is fair or ethical to expect astronauts to be exposed to dangerous levels of radiation that could leave them with considerable health problems - or worse, imminent death.*

On the one hand, Musk is the hero who wants to save the very thing that makes us human - our consciousness. He's willing to sacrifice his time (though, we're certain he won't be making the maiden voyage to Mars himself) and massive brainpower to see us to Mars as quickly as his coffers and sketchbooks can get us there.

[Read full story here...](#)



**Central Bankers Plan For**



# Master Reset Into 'Green Economy'

As the Bank of England leads the world's central banks into a 'Green New Deal', it proposes Green Bonds as a way to finance Sustainable Development, aka Technocracy, and plunder the world's wealth once and for all. □ TN Editor

I was told many depressing things as a child.

Watching World Vision infomercials educating the west to the want and misery suffered by millions of children in the third world, I wasn't alone in asking adults "why"? When I enjoyed all the comforts of food security, electricity and running water, why were these other children living in poverty? I know that I was not the only bewildered child to receive the shallow response that I did from family and teachers when I was told that this "simply is the way it is". At best, we privileged few in the 1<sup>st</sup> world could hope that \$1/day would alleviate their pain, but really there was no great solution.

Later in life, as my closest friends found themselves enmeshed in university political science and economic programs, the innocent curiosity that recognized injustice for what it was not only died under the weight of materialist theories of human nature which their parents paid good money to feed them, but upon leaving school, those same friends actually became witting accomplices in that very system which their youthful hearts recognized as wrong so many years earlier. Since humanity was intrinsically selfish and our economic system so immutable, the best we could hope for was success in life and enjoy being on the receiving end of destiny.

Again, I know that I'm not alone in this experience, as tens of millions of citizens took to the streets all around the world on September 27 to march for the earth, repulsed by corrupt consumerism and celebrating the advent of a Green New Deal.

This activation of “people power” driven by such institutions as the Extinction Rebellion, Fridays for the Future and the young Greta Thunberg could never have *occurred had not a deep sense of injustice and malaise not already been festering in our collective hearts*. That sense of injustice and malaise connects us to our deepest humanity and is a purity which unites each of us in a field of compassion with the whole of which we are but parts, and should be celebrated and protected at all costs.

In spite of that purity something much darker showed its ugly face on September 27 which used that inherent goodness to its dark advantage. It is that dark something that I would like to discuss.

## **You Know Something Isn't Right When...**

The first clue as to the ugly problem can be found in the simple fact that leading central bankers had already issued a call for the same new green banking system which Greta and the countless masses also demanded long before Extinction Rebellion, Fridays for the Future or There is No Time were ever created.

While officially announced on September 22<sup>nd</sup> at the opening of the UN Climate Summit in NY, [a Central Banker's Climate Compact](#) was unveiled under the leadership of Bank of England Governor Mark Carney that had already been in the works for over 18 months representing over 130 of the world's largest and corrupt banks calling for a radical decarbonisation of the world economy by 2/3 according to commitments laid out in the 2015 Paris Climate accord.

Bank of England head and Chair of the Bank of International Settlement's Financial Stability Board (2011-2018) Mark Carney announced at this venue that *“climate disclosures must become comprehensive, climate risk management must be transformed and sustainable investing must go mainstream”*. Carney then took on a more threatening tone by saying *“the firms that anticipate these developments will be rewarded handsomely. Those that don't will cease to exist”*.

Now Mark Carney has been hailed by the environmental lobby as the

leading [“eco-warrior” of central bankers](#) and those doing the hailing seem to rarely take notice of the blatant fact that the person who sits atop the technocratic power structure that has given rise to the world’s greatest economic and environmental injustices for decades is also the person designing how this dysfunctional world is supposed to be restructured.

So how do Carney and his fellow hive of central bankers propose the world transform?

## **The New Green System Becomes...**

For starters, Carney believes that a new global reserve currency must replace the U.S. Dollar.

What should this post-dollar system be based upon? Well, as of August 23, during a central bankers’ summit in Jackson Hole Missouri, [Carney stated](#) that it should be modelled on Facebook’s cryptocurrency the Libra, which is scheduled to start issuance by early next year. Unlike the Libra however, Carney’s cryptocurrency will be entirely controlled by private banking institutions and totally removed from sovereign nation states, which are just too influenced by short term political interests rather than an “enlightened technocratic elite” who know how to truly think long term.

Knowing that China’s *Belt and Road Initiative* is increasingly becoming the foundation for a viable new economic order, and knowing that the financial oligarchy’s monopoly over world finance will come undone if that were so, Carney also warned that a crypto-digital currency is the only way to stop the Renminbi from becoming the US dollar’s replacement. Carney called his digital solution to be a “*synthetic hegemonic currency... through a network of central bank digital currencies*” which would base their value upon new standards not existent in the 1971-2019 globalized model.

## **Redefining value**

In a [2015 Lloyds of London Speech](#) preceding the Paris COP21 Climate

summit, Carney stated *“the desirability of restricting climate change to two degrees above pre-industrial levels leads to the notion of a carbon “budget”- an assessment of the amount of emissions the world can “afford”.*

This statement essentially defines the parameters which Greta and her minions of green followers are being induced to call for as a new “post-consumerist” world of monetary valuation while actually merely destroying what little remains of a middle class and empowering an already entrenched oligarchy.

Like all good lies, this one hinges upon a truth.

Carney and the green new dealers recognize that pure “market demand” has totally failed as a standard of assessing “value” of money or any other primary asset in our economy. \$4.5 trillion of currency speculation grows like a cancer every day without any positive payback to the real economy while \$700 trillion of derivatives hover like a Damocles sword over the world waiting to fall at any moment that “market confidence” disappears as it did in 2007.

But after that truth is acknowledged, what does the “carbon budget” entail which professes to somehow lower the world temperature to within two degrees of pre-industrial temperatures which we must assume to be a solution to an under-defined problem?

According to the Green New Deal proposed by Carney and his allies, in order to bring world carbon dioxide production down to net zero emissions by 2050 as demanded by COP21, several things must happen.

- Green bonds must be expanded en masse, in the similar fashion that victory bonds were created in WW2 to pay for the growth of industry needed to battle Hitler. In this 21<sup>st</sup> century version of course, it is the Bank of International Settlements [which financed Nazism](#) which today wishes to define how the new victory bonds will behave. Rather than finance industrial and scientific growth as occurred in the 1940s, these new bonds promise to shrink it. The associated constraints upon humanity’s

ability to support its 7 billion lives is not lost to some cold hearted technocrats and their aristocratic managers at the top.

- In reducing industrial growth through the transition from a carbon-based society towards a “green” energy- fueled society of windmills and solar panels, carbon footprints must be diminished. The degree to which humanity diminishes its carbon footprints is the degree to which Carney and his masters promise to [reward economic players with monetary profit](#). Again this is the very opposite process when compared to the 1938-1971 system of industrial growth which tied dollar values to the growth of the REAL economy (agriculture, industry, science and technology) which tied money to the betterment of human life.
- Carbon Taxes and Cap and Trade mechanisms must become hegemonic which then creates measurable values for the reduction of humanity’s carbon footprint.

## Embarrassingly Bad Science

By acting on a purely emotional state of fear and panic as Greta demands we do, **Carney-ite technocrats hope that no one bothers to shine light upon the blatant scientific fallacies underlying the entire “green new deal” which the Bank of England is proposing the world adopt.**

*We must ignore* the fact that the “99% of scientists who all agree that global warming is caused by human activity” is really [only based upon a survey](#) of 79 anonymous scientists (77 of whom believed in the claim).

*We must believe* that it has been proven that CO2 is a cause of climate variation, even though all long term measurements of climate and temperature indicate [that CO2 follows rather than precedes](#) temperature changes.

*We must also believe* that this reduction in humanity’s contribution of CO2 to the entire greenhouse effect ([which accounts for less than 0.1% of the total](#)) would have any impact whatsoever upon a 2 degree reduction of the global mean temperature from pre-industrial levels (which we have no ability to assess anyway).

*We must ultimately assume that perpetual economic growth is a delusion which only fools believe in and that population growth is a problem which must be corrected by a technocratic elite who have the “stomachs to handle the bloodletting”.*

[Read full story here...](#)



## **France Launches Nationwide Facial Recognition ID Program**

With France leading the way, Technocracy in Europe is now set to follow in China's dystopian footsteps by implementing a society-wide facial recognition surveillance system. □ TN Editor

France is poised to become the first European country to use facial recognition technology to give citizens a secure digital identity —

whether they want it or not.

Saying it wants to make the state more efficient, President Emmanuel Macron's government is pushing through plans to roll out an ID program, [dubbed Alicem](#), in November, earlier than an initial Christmas target. The country's data regulator says the program breaches the European [rule of consent](#) and a privacy group is challenging it in France's [highest administrative court](#). It took a hacker just over an hour to break into a "secure" government messaging app this year, raising concerns about the state's security standards.

None of that is deterring the French interior ministry.

"The government wants to funnel people to use Alicem and facial recognition," said Martin Drago, a lawyer member of the privacy group [La Quadrature du Net](#) that filed the suit against the state. "We're heading into mass usage of facial recognition. (There's) little interest in the importance of consent and choice." The case, filed in July, won't suspend Alicem.

## Digital Identities

With the move, France will join states around the world rushing to create "digital identities" to give citizens secure access to everything from their taxes and banks to social security and utility bills. [Singapore](#) uses facial recognition and has signed an accord to help the U.K. prepare its own ID system. [India](#) uses iris scans.

[France](#) says the ID system won't be used to keep tabs on residents. Unlike in China and Singapore, the country won't be integrating the facial recognition biometric into citizens' identity databases. In fact, the interior ministry, which developed the Alicem app, says the facial recognition data collected [will be deleted when the enrollment process is over](#). That hasn't stopped people from worrying about its potential misuse.

"Rushing into facial recognition at this point is a major risk" because of uncertainties on its final use, said Didier Baichere, a governing-party lawmaker who sits on the [Parliament's "future technologies"](#)

[commission](#) and is the author of a July [report on](#) the subject. Allowing mass-usage before putting in place proper checks and balances is “ludicrous,” he said.

## No Consent

The Android-only app with the blazon of the French republic, which [Bloomberg](#) was able to consult, will be the only way for residents to create a legal digital ID and facial recognition will be its sole enabler. An ID will be created through a one-time enrollment that works by comparing a user’s photo in their biometric passport to a selfie video taken on the app that will capture expressions, movements and angles. The phone and the passport will communicate through their embedded chips.

Opponents say the app potentially violates [Europe’s General Data Protection Regulation](#), which makes free choice mandatory. Emilie Seruga-Cau, who heads the law enforcement unit at the [CNIL](#), the country’s independent privacy regulator, said it has made its concerns “very clear.”

[Read full story here...](#)